



IFW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S) : Willibald Kraus  
TITLE : CLOSURE COVER  
APPLICATION NO. : 10/518,805  
FILED : October 24, 2005  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 3004  
EXAMINER : Ned Andrew Walker  
ART UNIT : 3781  
LAST OFFICE ACTION : March 17, 2008  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : TRWZ 2 00280

Cleveland, OH 44114  
April 16, 2008

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action dated March 17, 2008, it was stated that the above-identified application contains claims directed to more than one Species of the generic invention. More particularly, it was stated that there are three Species, namely, Species 1: Figures 1-4, Species 2: Figures 5-6; and Species 3: Figure 7. It was also stated that claims 6 and 7 correspond to Species 1, claims 1 and 5 correspond to Species 2, and claim 8 corresponds to Species 3. However, it was admitted that claims 1 and 5 are currently generic.

In paragraph 3 on page 3 of the Office Action, it was contended that the different Species outlined in the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept because the only common technical feature in all of the claims is the closure cover comprising a lid

element and an insert element. Both of these elements are said to be disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,784,285. Applicant respectfully submits, however, that there are additional common elements being claimed. More particularly, there is claimed a center region of the insert element which, in a mounted state of the lid, acts upon a counter region of the lid element. Moreover, the claims recite that the two elements can be locked together in a mounted state. These additional elements of the pending claims are not disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,784,285. It is noted that the closing function of the lid is described in paragraph 23 of the instant specification.

Nevertheless, as applicant is required, in reply to the Office Action to elect a single Species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally found to be allowable, applicant hereby elects the Species of Figures 5 and 6. Claims 1 and 5 and the claims depending from claim 5 all read on Species 2.

Prompt and favorable examination of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP



Jay F. Moldovanyi, Reg. No. 29,678  
1100 Superior Avenue  
Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579  
216-861-5582


16 Apr 2008  
Date

---

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, I certify that this Response to Restriction Requirement is being

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail, addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.
- ☐ transmitted via facsimile in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 on the date indicated below.
- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

Express Mail Label No.:	Signature 
Date <b>April 16, 2008</b>	Printed Name <b>Kathleen A. Nimrichter</b>

N:\TRWZ\200280\KAN0009748V001.docx